## Effect of Planting Dates, Plant Population and Nitrogen Fertilization on Sugar Beet Productivity Under the Newly Reclaimed Sandy Soils in Egypt

## Leilah, A.A.; M.A. Badawi; E. M. Said; M.H. Ghonema and M.A. E. Abdou

Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt.

#### Abstract:

This investigation was carried out in a newly reclaimed sandy soil to study the effect of planting dates, plant populations, nitrogen fertilizer levels and times of its application and their interactions on sugar beet productivity. Plant population markedly affected all studied characters in the two seasons. The highest root and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup> were obtained with sowing sugar beet on both sides of ridges, 70 cm width and 25 cm between plants (114240 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>). Nitrogen levels had significant effects on all estimated characters in both seasons. Adding 250 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> produced the highest values of length, diameter and fresh weight of roots, foliage fresh weight as well as root, top and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup>. Split application of nitrogen into four equal portions (45, 60, 75 and 90 days after planting) was associated with the highest values of length, diameter and fresh weight of roots, foliage fresh weight, purity percentage as well as root, top and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup>. In general, it can be concluded that sowing sugar beets on first of October on both sides of ridges, 70 cm width and 25 cm apart (114240 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the addition of 250 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> into four equal portions (45, 60, 75 and 90 days after planting) was the best treatment for maximizing sugar beet productivity in the newly reclaimed sandy soils under the environmental conditions of the present study.

#### Introduction

Sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) ranks the second important sugar crop after sugar cane, producing annually about 40 % of sugar production all over the world. Despite the newness of sugar beet in Egypt, it has a large importance where there are wide newly reclaimed sandy soils at the northern parts of Egypt, that could be cultivated with sugar beet without competition from other winter crops because of its tolerance to salinity and the ability to produce high yields of sugar under saline soil and water conditions, compared with most of other traditional winter crops.

Leilah, A.A. et. al.

Planting date has an active role on growth, yield and quality of sugar beet. Under the environmental conditions of Egypt, there is a general agreement that early planting of sugar beet (September-October) produced the highest sucrose percentage as well as root and sugar yields per unit area (Badawi *et al.*, 1995; Ghonema, 1998 and Ramadan and Hassanin, 1999). Other studies found that planting sugar beet during October markedly increased diameter, length and weight of roots, sugar content as well as root and sugar yields, compared with the late sowing of November (El-Kassaby and Leilah, 1992a; Leilah and Nasr, 1992 and Badawi *et al.*, 1995).

The optimum plant population in sugar beet is very necessary to have high root yields with good quality. El-Khatib (1991) reported that increasing plant density from 35000 to 40000 and 46500 plants fad<sup>-1</sup> (fad=0.42 ha) resulted in an increase in top, root and sugar yields, respectively. El-Kassaby and Leilah (1992b) stated that maximum diameter and weight of roots were obtained with planting beets on one side of ridges 70 cm width, 30 cm apart (20000 plants fad<sup>-1</sup>). The highest yields of roots and sugar (ton fad<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained with planting beets on both sides of ridges 70 cm width, 25 cm apart (48000 plants fad-1). Sultan et al., (1996) studied the effect of plant populations (35000, 46500, 52500 and 70000 plants fad-1) on sugar beet in north delta region. They stated that the highest yields of roots and sugar were obtained with the plant population of 46500 plants fad<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons. On the other hand, the lowest values of root and sugar yields were obtained with the density of 35000 plants fad<sup>-1</sup>. Ramadan (1999) and Mahmoud et al., (1999) found that increasing the distance between hills from 15 to 20 cm significantly increased size and weight of individual roots, root yield fad<sup>-1</sup>, gross sugar yield /fad and yield of recoverable sugar yield/fad<sup>-1</sup>.

Nitrogen is the most important fertilizer element for sugar beet growth and yield (Badawi 1989a & b, Emara 1990 and El-Kassaby and Leilah 1992 b). Increasing nitrogen rate up to 70 Kg N fad<sup>-1</sup> (Badawi 1996 and Salama and Badawi 1996), 75 Kg N fad<sup>-1</sup> (Badawi *et al* 1995), 80 Kg N fad<sup>-1</sup> (Mahmoud *et al.* 1990), 105 Kg N fad<sup>-1</sup> (Neamet Alla 1997), 90 Kg N fad<sup>-1</sup> (Basha 1999, El-Hawary 1999 and Mahmoud *et al* 1999, Mahasen, Fahmi 1999 and Shahr-Zad 1999) and 120 Kg N fad<sup>-1</sup> (Sorour *et al* 1992, El-Hennawy *et al* 1998 and Sarhan 1998) significantly increased root length,

root diameter as well as root, top and sugar yields fad, but it resulted in marked reduction in TSS, juice purity and sucrose percentage.

Adding nitrogen in the suitable time has an effective role in maximizing the N utilization through minimizing losses of the applied nitrogen (Shahr-Zad 1999). El-Hennawy *et al.*, (1998) concluded that split addition of nitrogen in two equal portions at 4 and 8 leaf stages increased root and recoverable sugar yields. Mahasen, Fahmi (1999) found that adding nitrogen into two equal portions 1/2 before the first irrigation (BFI) + 1/2 before the second irrigation (BSI) resulted in the highest means of fresh weight and root, top and sugar yields fad<sup>-1</sup>.

The interaction between rate and time of N application had significant effects on sucrose percentage and sugar yield (Badawi 1989a; El-Hennawy *et al.* 1998 and Shahr-Zad 1999). The highest root diameter as well as root and sugar yields fad<sup>-1</sup> were obtained with the addition of 90 Kg N fad<sup>-1</sup> in two equal portions (1/2 before the first irrigation+1/2 before the second irrigation (Mahasen, Fahmi 1999).

#### **Materials and Methods**

This investigation was carried out in a newly reclaimed sandy soil at Kalabsho region in the northern district of Belkas, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt, during the two successive seasons of 1994/95 and 1995/96. The purpose was to study the effect of planting dates, plant populations, nitrogen fertilization and their interactions on sugar beet productivity CV. Kawemira. This study included three planting dates (first of September, October and November). Each planting date was conducted in a separate experiment. A split-split plot design with four replicates was used for each planting date. The main plots were devoted to the following four plant populations:

- 71400 plants ha-1 (Planting on one side of ridges, 70 cm width and 20 cm apart).
- 142800 plants ha-1 (Planting on both sides of ridges, 70 cm width and 20 cm apart).
- 57120 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (Planting on one side of ridges, 70 cm width and 25 cm apart).

114240 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (Planting on both sides of ridges, 70 cm width and 25 cm apart).

The sub plots were occupied by the three nitrogen fertilizer levels, i.e. 150, 200 and 250 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Meanwhile, the sub-sub plots were allocated with the following three split applications of nitrogen fertilizer:

- Two equal portions (at 45 and 60 days after planting).
- Three equal portions (at 45, 60 and 75 days after planting).
- Four equal portions (at 45, 60, 75 and 90 days after planting).

Nitrogen was added in the form of ammonium sulphate (20.5 % N) at the previously mentioned levels, times and dressings. Each experimental unit contained five ridges, 70 cm width and 6.0 m long, occupying an area of 21.0 m<sup>2</sup>. Sugar beet was preceded by sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.) in both seasons. Sowing of dry sugar beet balls took place in dry soil during the aforementioned dates to have four plant populations as previously mentioned. Irrigation was applied after sowing immediately. Beet plants were thinned to one plant hill<sup>-1</sup> at the age of 40 days from planting. All normal agricultural practices with the exception of the studied factors were carried out as usually done by farmers in the district. Plants were harvested when the outside leaves of these plants turned yellow.

At harvest, ten plants were taken at random from each plot to estimate root length (cm), root diameter (cm), root fresh weight (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), foliage fresh weight (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), root / top ratio, total soluble solids of roots (T.S.S), which was determined in fresh root by using hand refractometer. Sucrose (%) was estimated polarimetrically on a lead acetate extract of fresh macerated roots according to Le - Docte (1927). Juice purity (%) was calculated by dividing Sucrose (%) / T.S.S (%). Root and top yields (t ha<sup>-1</sup>): Sugar beet plants in two ridges of each experimental unit (sub-sub plot) were harvested, cleaned and collected, thereafter roots and tops were separated and each was weighted in Kg, then after, it was converted to estimate root and top yields (ton ha<sup>-1</sup>). Sugar yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) calculated by multiplying root yield by sucrose percentage.

All data of each sowing date in each season were statistically analyzed according the technique of analysis of variance (AOV) of the split-split plot in randomized complete bock design. Then, the combined analysis for the

three studied sowing dates was done in each season according to the method stated by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Treatment means were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) method.

#### **Results and Discussion:**

**1. Effect of planting dates:** Data listed in Tables (1,2,3 and 4) show that planting dates had significant effects on all estimated characters in both seasons. Sowing sugar beets on  $1^{st}$  Oct. resulted in significant increases in length, diameter and fresh weight of roots, foliage fresh weight, root/top ratio as well as root, top and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup>. Meanwhile, the highest TSS, sucrose and purity percentages were found with planting sugar beets on  $1^{st}$  Sept. The increase in root yield with first Oct. planting might be attributed to the good weather conditions that promoted phytosynthesis and improved growth of sugar beet and hence increase root yield. El-Kassaby and Leilah (1992); Leilah and Nasr (1992) and Badawi, *et al.* (1995) came to similar results reporting that October planting markedly increased diameter, length and weight of roots, sugar content as well as root and sugar yields, compared with the late sowing of November.

**2. Effect of plant populations:** Plant population significantly affected all studied characters in both seasons (Tables 1- 4). Plant population of 57120 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (sowing sugar beet on one side of ridges, 70 cm width and 25 cm between plants) produced the highest root diameter, root fresh weight, foliage fresh weight, root/top ratio, total soluble solids % and sucrose %. The highest root and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup> were obtained with sowing sugar beet on both sides of ridges, 70 cm width and 25 cm between plants (114240 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>), while planting 142800 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. sowing sugar beet on both sides of ridges, 70 cm width and 20 cm between plants recorded the maximum root length and top yield. The increase in root yield with sowing sugar beet on both sides of ridges, 25 cm apart (114240 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) might be due to the high leaf light interception, which contribute positively to higher photosynthesis with the relative increase in number of roots ha<sup>-1</sup>. Sultan *et al* (1996), Mahmoud *et al* (1999) and Ramadan (1999) came to similar results.

**3. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels:** Data listed in Tables (1-4) reveal that nitrogen fertilizer levels had significant effects on all estimated characters in

Leilah, A.A. et. al.

both seasons. Adding 250 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was associated with the highest values of length, diameter and fresh weight of roots, foliage fresh weight as well as root, top and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest values of TSS, sucrose and purity percentages were obtained with the addition of 150 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Purity percentage was markedly affected by nitrogen levels in the first season, while the difference in the second season did not reach the level of significant. The increase in root yield with the increase in nitrogen levels might be attributed to the role of nitrogen in enhancing growth, chlorophyll formation, photosynthesis process and hence increasing yield and its attributing variables. Similar observations were reported by Badawi (1996), Salama and Badawi (1996), Mahasen, Fahmi (1999) and Shahr-Zad (1999). On the other hand, the increase in nitrogen levels was associated with marked reduction in T.S.S., sucrose % and purity %. TSS decreased from 23.84 to 23.33 and 22.78% with the increase in N levels from 150 to 200 and 250 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The decrease in TSS with the increase of N level might be due to the role of nitrogen in increasing moisture content in root tissues. Salama and Badawi (1996) and Mahasen, Fahmi (1999) came to similar results.

4. Effect of nitrogen application times: Data presented in Tables (1-4) show the effect of split application of nitrogen on sugar beet yield, yield attributes and quality. In both seasons, split application of nitrogen fertilizer into four equal portions (at 45, 60, 75 and 90 days after planting) was associated with the highest values of length, diameter and fresh weight of roots, foliage fresh weight, purity percentage as well as root, top and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup>. Adding nitrogen into two, three and four equal portions was associated with root yields of 39.476, 41.393 and 43.048 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> and sugar yields of 7.131, 7.321and 7.476 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. On the other hand, the split addition of nitrogen fertilizer into two equal portions (at 45 and 60 days after planting) recorded the highest root / top ratio, T.S.S and sucrose percentage. The increase in root and sugar yields with the split application of nitrogen might be attributed to the increase in nitrogen use efficiency with the split application of nitrogen to four portions, which reduce N loss to relatively low limit particularly in the sand soils, besides the continuous supply of plants from nitrogen. Similar result were stated by Mahasen, Fahmi (1999) and Shahr-Zad (1999).

Scientific Journal of King Faisal University (Basic and Applied Sciences)
---

Vol. 6 No. 1 1426 (2005)

Averages of ro	ot ler	ngth ¿	p pue	iame	ter (c	a (mc	nd fr	r esh v	able /eigh	(1) (1)	as a	ffect	id ba	/ pla	nting 005//	date	s, plå	int po	pula	tions	ż			
Character	L		2	vols v	oth (c)	m)		appr	Call		Root	diame	ter (c		10.66		TIOCE		Roof f	reshv	veight	9		Г
Season		199	4/95			199	96/5			1994/	95	F		1995	96	t		1994/9	2	⊢		1995/9		Т
Planting date	ā	D2	D3	Comb	DI	D2	D3	Comb	I	D2	D3 0	Comb	I	D2	D3 0	omb	ī	D2 I	33 Cc	I qui	a 	2 D.	Con	ę
A- Plant populations																	Í							
71400 plants/ha	27.2	28.4	26.0	27.2	27.9	28.9	26.3	27.8	8.8	9.1	8.5	8.8	8.9	9.8	8.4	9.0	89.3 5	59.9 45	7.1 50	2.1 52	0.0 59	8.3 475	0 531	T.
142800 plants/ha	29.8	30.9	28.5	29.8	30.5	31.6	28.9	30.3	7.4	8.0	7.2	7.5	7.6	8.1	7.2	7.6 3	06.1 3	61.8 28	0.4 31	6.1 31	2.8 37	7.4 295	3 328	S
57120 plants/ha	26.9	28.0	25.6	26.9	27.7	28.7	26.0	27.5	9.3	9.6	8.9	9.3	9.5	10.2	9.0	9.5 5	94.9 6	69.4 56	9.4 61	1.3 60	3.3 68	4.9 594	.0 627	4
114240 plants/ha	29.1	30.2	28.0	29.1	29.5	31.1	28.0	29.6	8.1	8.5	7.7	8.1	8.4	8.9	8.2	8.5 3	90.5 4	59.4 37	2.1 40	4.8 41	3.8 48	7.1 387	2 429	4
F-test	*	:	:	*	*	*	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	*	:	•	-	:	
LSD (5%)	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.2	4.3	5.9 8	S m	4	0.6 10	5 12.	4 5.5	
B- N levels																								
150 kg N/ha	27.4	28.6	26.1	27.4	28.1	29.2	26.4	27.9	7.4	7.9	1.7	7.4	7.5	8.4	7.0	7.6 4	27.3 4	85.2 39	7.7 43	6.7 44	1.9 51	0.0 413	3 455	-
200 kg N/ha	28.6	29.6	27.5	28.6	29.2	30.3	27.6	29.0	8.4	8.8	8.0	8.4	8.7	9.3	8.3	8.7	45.3 5	10.0 42	2.0 45	9.1 46	1.4 53	8.1 440	.4 480	0
250 kg N/ha	28.9	29.9	27.5	28.8	29.4	30.7	27.9	29.3	9.4	9.7	9.1	9.4	9.5	10.2	9.3	9.7	63.0 5	37.0 43	9.6 47	9.9 48	4.2 56	2.6 459	9 502	2
F-test	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	•	•	:	:	
LSD (5%)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	3.0	4.4 8	4	5	8.8	2 9.	5.0	
C-N application																								
2 equal portions	27.9	29.0	26.5	27.8	28.2	29.5	26.6	28.1	7.8	8.2	7.5	7.8	8.1	8.8	7.7	8.2 4	27.3 4	87.2 40	2.7 43	9.1 44	3.0 51	5.6 420	.6 459	90
<b>3 equal portions</b>	28.2	29.3	27.0	28.2	28.9	30.0	27.3	28.8	8.5	8.8	8.1	8.5	8.6	9.3	8.3	8.7 4	45.2 5	11.7 42	4.4 45	9.4 46	3.8 53	7.4 440	9 480	1
4 equal portions	28.8	29.7	27.6	28.7	29.5	30.7	28.0	29.4	0.0	9.3	8.6	9.0	9.1	9.7	8.7	9.2	63.1 5	33.4 43	5.2 47	7.3 48	0.6 55	7.7 452	.1 496	80
F-test	:	:	:	:	*	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	•	•	:	:	
LSD (5%)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	3.0	3.7 7	3	6	8.7	6.9	9.0	
Means	28.3	29.4	27.0	28.2	28.9	30.1	27.3	28.8	8.4	8.8	8.1	8.4	8.6	9.3	8.2	8.7 4	45.2 5	10.8 41	9.8 45	8.6 46	2.5 53	6.9 437	9 479	-
F-test		:				:				:	-			:				:	_	_	•			
LSD (5%)		0.2				0.3				0.1				0.3				2.9		_	5	_		
$D1 = 1^{st}$ Sept $D2^{s}$	= 1 <sup>st</sup> O	oct. an	D3=	= 1 <sup>st</sup> N	OV.																			

Averages o Character	f foliaș	ge fre P	sh w opula	eight ttions fresh	s (g) ;, N-l	, root levels eht (e	top 1 and	time	Ta and tc s of N	ble ( otal s app	2) olubl licati Root	le sol ion di t/top	lids ( uring ratio	TSS!	%) as 4/95	affe	995/	96 sc	antin 2ason T	g dat IS. SS %	es, pl	ant	
Season		199.	26/1			1995	96	t		1994/9	Y			1995/9	2	+		994/95			1	96/56	
ting date	ā	D2	D3	Comb	IQ	D2	D3 (	Comb	D1 I	22 1	03 Co	I quic	10	02 E	3 Co	mb D	D D	2 D.	3 Con	nb D1	D2	D3	Com
lant populations																							
71400 plants/ha	289.0	309.6	277.1	291.9	311.8	349.9	285.0 3	315.6	.70 1.	81 1	.66 1.	.73 1.	.67 1.	72 1.	67 1.	69 24.	99 24.	42 23.	55 24.3	32 24.0	2 23.1	6 23.06	23.42
142800 plants/ha	197.5	209.7	197.8	201.7	227.8	257.4	221.7 2	35.6	.56 1.	73 1	43 1.	57 1.	38 1.	47 1.	35 1.	40 22.	76 21.	51 21.2	56 21.5	94 21.6	3 21.1	6 21.00	21.26
57120 plants/ha	316.7	326.1	303.1	315.3	314.0	340.9	314.6 3	125.8 1	.89 2.	06 1	.89 1.	95 1.	94 1.	97 1.	90 1.	94 26.	16 25.	44 24.8	89 25.5	50 25.0	0 24.6	6 24.46	24.71
114240 plants/ha	242.2	258.9	227.4	242.8	259.3	283.2	253.2 2	265.2	.62 1.	76 1	.65 1.	.68 1.	.61 1.	73 1.	54 1.	63 23.	33 22.	91 22	35 22.8	86 22.6	7 22.5	9 22.25	22.52
F-test	*	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:		:	:	:	•	*	*	*	*	*	4	:	:	*
LSD (5%)	9.0	10.1	11.4	5.4	7.7	14.0	8.5	4.5 (	0.08 0.	0 20	.08 0.	04 0	.05 0.	0 60	06 0.	04 0.	4 0.4	0 0.2	6 0.1	3 0.3	2 0.2	0.41	0.17
levels	11,200				8		0	12.0		23	102.1	3	in the	10	-11		1					3	
150 kg N/ha	244.0	256.7	229.8	243.5	260.9	285.8	239.5 2	262.1	.74 1.	88 1.	.71 1.	.78 1.	.68 1.	77 1.	71 1.	72 24.	94 23.	98 23.4	45 24.	12 23.8	7 23.4	9 23.32	23.56
200 kg Nha	262.6	274.3	253.2	263.4	281.8	312.9	274.1 2	39.68	.68 1.	85 1.	.65 1.	.73 1.	.62 1.	71 1.	59 1.	64 24.	31 23.	57 23.	16 23.4	58 23.3	3 22.8	8 22.68	22.97
250 kg N/ha	277.5	297.3	270.9	281.9	292.0	330.7	292.3 3	805.0	.65 1.	79 1	.61 1.	.69 1.	65 1.	69 1.	55 1.	63 23.	68 23.	16 22.6	64 23.1	16 22.7	8 22.3	2 22.10	22.40
F-test	*	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	*	:	*	•	*	*	*	*	:	1	:	:	:
LSD (5%)	6.7	7.1	8.3	4.1	4.4	6.7	5.3	3.1 (	0.04 0.	05 0.	.07 0.	.03 0.	.05 0.	05 0.	05 0.	03 0.	10 9.1	4 0.2	3 0.1	5 0.1	9 0.14	0.13	0.09
application																							
2 equal portions	245.2	260.8	235.7	247.3	262.8	290.3	248.4 2	267.2	.73 1.	86 1.	.1 69	.76 1.	.67 1.	76 1.	68 1.	70 25.	28 24.	57 24.0	05 24.6	54 24.3	7 23.7	7 23.58	23.91
<b>3 equal portions</b>	262.4	278.9	250.9	264.1	282.5	312.0	270.5	288.3	.68 1.	83 1	.67 1.	.73 1.	.63 1.	71 1.	61 1.	65 24.	30 23.	59 23.0	07 23.4	56 23.2	5 22.8	5 22.68	22.93
4 equal portions	276.5	288.5	267.3	277.4	289.4	327.2	286.9 3	1.108	.66 1.	84 1	.1 19.	.7. 1.	.65 1.	69 1.	56 1.	64 23.	34 22.	55 22.	13 22.4	57 22.3	8 22.0	6 21.84	22.10
F-test	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	S		*	* SN	•	•	*	*	*	:	:	:	:	:
LSD (5%)	5.8	6.0	16.0	3.4	4.9	4.0	4.4	3.4	.04	0	.06 0.	.03	0	03 0.	04 0.	02 0.	5 0.1	4 0.1	7 0.1	4 0.10	0.11	0.10	0.06
Means	261.4	276.1	251.3	262.9	278.2	309.8	268.6 2	85.5	.69 1.	84 1	.66 1.	.73 1.	65 1.	72 1.	62 1.	66 24.	31 23.	57 23.0	09 23.6	56 23.3	3 22.8	9 22.70	22.97
F-test		:				:				*						-	*				:		
LSD (5%)		4.6				4.7			0	5		-	0	03		-	0.1	3			0.15	100	

Leilah, A.A. et. al.

Averages of s	_		ľ	· ],	=	mes	lN	applic	ation	n dur	ing 1	994/	95 an	61 P	95/9	6 sea	sons.							
Character		100	100	Sucr	ose %	0	2012			1001	Juic	e pu	rity	0/0	20	+		1001	Root	yield	E/	1005	70	
Season attac data	2	199	66/4	Comb	ā	199	0/20	Comb	1	1994	2 20	dan	14	100	8	dmo	10	1994	2	dmo		16661	20 20	dana
Plant populations	5		3		5	-	2	COULD	-	-				-		ALL N	5	-	2				2	
71400 plants/ha	18.84	18.03	17.35	18.07	18.59	18.49	17.55	18.21	75.47	73.89	73.74	14.37	77.48 7	9.86	6.14	7.83 3.	4.452 3	9.357 3.	2.619 3	5.476 3	.071 42	286 33	548 37	.619
142800 plants/ha	17.41	16.63	16.19	16.74	17.36	16.93	16.56	16.95	76.7	77.45	75.18	76.44 8	80.36 8	0.12 7	8.95	9.81 4.	3.190 50	0.595 3	9.690 4	4.500 4	.762 53	929 42	333 47	.333
57120 plants/ha	19.51	18.83	18.13	18.83	19.34	19.2	18.44	18.99	74.62	74.06	72.89	73.86	7.45 7	7 16.7	5.42	6.93 3.	3.786 3	7.881 3.	2.381 3.	1.690 3.	690 38	.714 33	429 35	619
114240 plants/ha	17.98	17.26	16.62	17.29	17.67	17.78	16.74	17.4	77.13	75.4	74.44	75.66	78 7	12.77 7	5.16	7.31 4.	4.214 50	0.905 4.	2.214 4.	5.762 4	452 55	.786 44	.000 45	405
F-test	*	**	:	:	:	**	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
LSD (5%)	0.14	0.22	0.1	0.08	0.2	0.23	0.18	0.11	0.86	1.45	1.1	0.61	1.34	1.05	1.45	0.68 0	667 0	0.643 1	0 560.	429 0	643 1.	524 0	786 0.	548
V levels																								
150 kg N/ha	18.93	18.23	17.69	18.28	18.72	18.66	17.79	18.39	75.98	76.15	75.61	16.51	18.57 7	9.53 7	6.37	8.16 3	7.214 4.	2.214 3.	4.643 3	8.024 3	.524 45	.286 36	.167 40	.333
200 kg N/ha	18.35	17.66	17.08	17.7	18.19	17.99	17.42	17.87	75.63	75.1	73.87	74.87	80'82	78.7 7	6.89	7.89 31	8.929 4	4.619 30	6.905 40	0.143 4	.548 47	.643 38	476 42	.548
250 kg N/ha	18.03	17.18	16.45	17.22	17,82	17.66	16.76	17.41	76.33	74.35	72.71	74.46	18.32 7	9.26 7	5.99	77.86 40	0.571 4	7.238 31	8.643 4.	2.143 4	.429 50	.095 40	.333 44	619
F-test	*	*	:	:	:	*	*	:	NS	:	:	:	NS	NS	NS	NS	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
LSD (5%)	0.11	0.1	0.18	0.07	0.23	0.12	0.21	0.1	1	0.55	1.26	0.62	1	1	1	1	214 0	1333 0	976 0	.286 0	738 0.	810 0	976 0	452
V application																								
2 equal portions	18.79	18.03	17.4	18.07	18.57	18.44	17.69	18.23	74.39	73.48	72.38	73.42	16.34 7	7.62	75.1	6.35 3.	7.238 4.	2.429 3.	5.119 30	8.262 3	810 45	595 36	.690 40	0690
3 equal portions	18.44	17.66	17.06	17.72	18.23	18.09	17.32	17.88	75.97	74.94	74.02	74.98	78.51 7	9.26 7	6.42	8.06 31	8.929 4	4.738 30	6.952 40	0.214 4	.571 47	.690 38	429 42	.571
4 equal portions	18.08	17.38	16.76	17.41	17.92	17.77	16.97	17.55	77.58	61.77	75.79	76.85	\$0.12 8	19:00	7.74	9.49 40	0.548 40	6.881 38	8.119 4	1.857 4.	.095 49	.762 39	.833 44	.238
F-test	*	**	:	:	:	**	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	*	*	:	:	:		:	:	:
LSD (5%)	0.09	0.1	0.14	0.07	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.1	0.6	0.61	0.71	0.37	0.77	0.8	0.82	0.46 0	310 0	286 0	714 0	286 0	643 0.	905 0	786 0	452
Means	18.44	17.69	17.07	17.73	18.24	18.1	17.32	17.89	75.98	75.2	74.06	75.08	78.32 7	9.16 7	6.42	7.97 30	8.905 4	4.690 30	6.714 4	0.095 4	.500 47	38 0697	310 42	.500
F-test		:				:				:				:				:				:	_	
1 610 / 2011		0.06				0.11				53 0			-	3 58			0	286			0	510		

Scientific Journal of King Faisal University (Basic and Applied Sciences)

Vol. 6 No. 1 1426 (2005)

Effect of plantir	ng	d	ate	es,	p	laı	nt	po	pι	ıla	tio	n.	••										L	ei	lah	n, A.A. <i>et</i> .
		_			_			_	_		_		_		_		_				_			_		n
ц			Comb		6.881	8.024	6.762	8.595	**	0.071		7.381	7.571	7.738	*	0.048		7.381	7.571	7.714	*	0.048	7.548			
olicatic		96/9	D3		5.857	7.000	6.143	7.357	**	0.119		6.381	6.643	6.738	*	0.119		6.452	6.619	6.714	*	0.095	6.595			
N apr	a)	199	D2		7.786	9.119	7.429	9.905	**	0.167		8.381	8.524	8.786	•	0.119		8.333	8.571	8.786	**	0.119	8.571	:	0.119	
mes of	l (t / h		DI		6.881	7.929	6.690	8.548	:	0.071		7.357	7.500	7.690	:	0.071		7.333	7.524	7.667	*	0.071	7.524			
s and ti	Ir yield		omb.		6.405	7.429	6.524	7.905	**	0.048		6.905	7.071	7.214	*	0.048		6.881	7.071	7.238	*	0.048	7.071			
I-levels	Suga	2	D3 C		643	.405	.857	000'	**	.143		.095	.262	.310	**	.119		.071	5.262	.357	**	.095	.238		_	
ions, N		1994/9	02		071 5	405 6	119 5	762 7	:	071 0		.643 6	833 6	071 6	:	048 0		595 6	857 6	.095 6	*	024 0	833 6	**	071	
opulati			-		176 7	500 8	571 7.	929 8		95 0		7 000	119 7.	286 8		0 24 0		952 7.	238 7	286 8	*	048 0	119 7.		0	
plant p 95/96			lb. D		33 6.4	67 7.5	05 6.1	81 7.5		1 0.0		76 7.(	76 7.	43 7.2	•	3 0.0		90 6.9	10 5.2	95 7.2		3 0.0	90 7.	_	_	
(4) lates, ] and 19			Con		22.3	33.1	18.9	30.3	*	0.57		23.9	26.4	28.1	*	0.33		24.1	26.3	28.0	*	0.33	26.1			
able ( nting d 94/95		5/96	D3		20.429	30.905	17.929	28.571	**	1.119		21.976	24.762	26.643	:	0.524		22.429	24.548	26.405	*	0.524	24.452			
T by pla ing 19		199	D2		24.476	36.500	20.000	33.000	**	1.286		26.286	28.881	30.310	:	0.571		26.357	28.667	30.452	:	0.571	28.500	:	0.452	
ffected dun	(t / ha		DI		22.119	32.095	18.762	29.619	:	0.810		23.690	25.786	27.452	:	0.500		23.762	25.738	27.452	**	0.667	25.643			
a) as af	o yield		omb.		1.048	9.071	8.167	7.429	**	.571		2.071	4.000	5.714	**	.333		2.405	4.024	5.357	:	.333	3.929			
s (t / h	Tol		3 C		881 2	.143 2	476 1	000 2	*	095 0		881 2	024 2	714 2	:	548 (		381 2	976 2	286 2	*	643 (	881 2			Nov.
r yield		994/95	-		95 19.	19 28.	52 17.	90 26.		5 1.(		24 20.	05 23.	10 24.	-	0 0.		33 21.	48 22.	81 24.	*	5 0.0	29 22		-	)3= 1 <sup>st</sup> ]
l sugar			8		22.09	30.11	18.76	28.69	*	0.90		23.02	24.9(	26.81	*	0.50		23.33	25.04	26.38	*	0.59	24.92	**	0.38	t. and I
op and			DI		21.143	28.952	18.262	27.619	:	1.310		22.310	24.095	25.571	•	0.762		22.500	24.071	25.429	*	0.595	24.000			$= 1^{st} Oc$
Averages of 1	Character	Season	lanting date	- Plant populations	71400 plants/ha	142800 plants/ha	57120 plants/ha	114240 plants/ha	F-test	LSD (5%)	- N levels	150 kg N/ha.	200 kg N/ha	250 kg N/ha	F-test	LSD (5%)	- N application:	2 equal portions	<b>3 equal portions</b>	4 equal portions	F-test	LSD (5%)	Means	F-test	LSD (5%)	D1 = 1 <sup>st</sup> Sept. , D2

- Interaction effects: The interaction between planting dates and plant populations had significant effects on root fresh weight and root yield ha<sup>-1</sup> in the two seasons. Planting sugar beets on the first of October on one side of ridges, 25 cm apart (57120 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the highest root fresh weight in the two seasons (Table 5). However, planting beets on first of October on both sides of ridge, 25 cm apart (114240 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced the highest root yield during the two seasons (Table 5). The interaction between plant populations and nitrogen fertilizer levels had marked effects on root diameter as well as root and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons (Table 6). Planting sugar beets on one side of ridges, 70 cm width and 25 cm apart (57120 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and adding 250 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest root diameter in the two seasons, whereas planting sugar beets on the two sides of ridge, 70 cm width and 25 cm apart (114240 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and adding 250 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> were associated with the highest root and sugar yields ha<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons.

Table (	(5)
1 4010	

Averages of root fresh weight (g) plant and root yield (ton ha<sup>-1</sup>) as affected by the interaction between planting dates and plant populations in 1994 / 95 and 1995 / 96 seasons.

Season		1994 / 95			1995 / 96	
Planting dates	1 <sup>st</sup> Sept	1 <sup>st</sup> Oct.	1 <sup>st</sup> Nov.	1 <sup>st</sup> Sept	1 <sup>st</sup> Oct.	1 <sup>st</sup> Nov.
Population		Roc	ot fresh we	ight (g) pl	ant	
71400 plants/ha	489.3	559.9	457.1	520.0	598.3	475.0
142800 plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	306.1	361.8	280.4	312.8	377.4	295.3
57120 plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	594.9	669.4	569.4	603.3	684.9	594.0
114240 plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	390.5	451.9	372.1	413.8	487.1	387.2
F – test		**			**	
LSD at 5 %		5.9			10.2	
			Root yield	l (ton/ha)	-	
71400 plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	34.452	39.357	32.619	37.071	42.286	33.524
142800 plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	43.190	50.595	39.690	45.762	53.929	42.333
57120 plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	33.762	37.881	32.381	34.690	38.714	33.429
114240 plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	44.190	50.905	42.214	48.452	55.786	43.976
F – test		**			**	
LSD at 5 %		0.714			0.906	

### Table (6)

Averages of root diameter (cm) as well as root and sugar yields (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) as affected by the interaction between plant populations and nitrogen fertilizer levels in 1994 / 95 and 1995 / 96 seasons

Seasons		1994	/ 95			1995	/ 96	
No.of plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	71400	142800	57120	114240	71400	142800	57120	114240
N-Levels				Root diar	neter (cm)	)		
150 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.7	6.7	8.2	7.3	7.9	6.8	8.4	7.5
$200 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$	8.8	7.5	9.3	8.1	9.1	7.8	9.6	8.5
$250 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$	9.9	8.3	10.5	8.9	10.1	8.3	10.6	9.6
F – test		*	*			*	*	
LSD at 5 %		0.	.2			0.	.1	
N-Levels		-		Root yie	eld (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	-	-	-
150 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	33.595	41.643	33.500	43.310	35.690	44.405	34.333	46.857
200 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	35.381	44.619	34.810	45.762	37.381	47.500	35.833	49.500
250 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	37.429	47.214	35.690	48.214	39.810	50.143	36.643	51.857
F – test		*	*			*	*	
LSD at 5 %		0.5	595			0.9	05	
				sugar yie	eld (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
N-Levels	71400	142800	57120	114240	71400	142800	57120	114240
150 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.262	7.143	6.571	7.643	6.714	7.643	6.786	8.333
200 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.405	7.476	6.476	7.929	6.810	8.024	6.786	8.619
250 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.524	7.714	6.500	8.143	7.024	8.381	6.690	8.833
F – test		*	*			*	*	
LSD at 5 %		0.0	195			0.1	19	

In general, it can be concluded that planting sugar beets on the first October in both sides of ridges, 25 cm apart (114240 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and adding 250 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> into four splitting equal portions (at 45, 60, 75 and 90 days after planting) could be recommended for maximizing sugar beet productivity in the newly reclaimed sandy soils, under the environmental conditions of Kalabsho region, Belkas district, Dakahlia Governorate.

#### **References:**

- Badawi, M.A. (1989 a): A preliminary study on the effect of some cultural practices on the growth and yield of sugar beet. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 14 (2): 984 - 993.
- Badawi, M.A. (1989 b): A study of the effect of inter relationship among levels of N - fertilization and weed control treatments on the yield components, quality and yield of sugar beet. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 14 (3):1416 - 1425.
- Badawi, M.A. (1996): Effect of soil and foliar fertilization with urea on yield, yield components and quality of sugar beet. (*Beta vulgaris*, L.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 21 (9): 3083 - 3096.
- Badawi, M.A.; M.A. El-Agroudy and A.N. Attia (1995): Effect of planting date and N P K fertilization on growth and yield of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*, L.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 20 (6): 2683 - 2689.
- 5. Basha, H.A. (1999): Response of two sugar beet cultivars to level and method of nitrogen application in sandy soil. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26 (1): 11 26.
- El-Hawary, M.A. (1999): Influence of nitrogen, potassium and boron fertilizer levels on sugar beet under saline soil conditions. J. Agric. Sci.; Mansoura Univ., 24 (4): 1573 - 1581.
- El-Hennawy, H.H.; B.S.H. Ramadan and E.A. Mahmoud (1998): Response of sugar beet to nitrogen fertilization levels and its time of application. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23 (3): 969 - 978.
- El-Kassaby, A.T. and A.A. Leilah (1992a): Effect of sowing and harvesting time on yield and quality of sugar beet. Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron. Zagazig, 13 - 15 Sept., Vol (2): 963 - 969.
- El-Kassaby, A.T. and A.A. Leilah (1992b): Influence of plant density and nitrogen fertilizer levels on sugar beet productivity. Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron., Zagazig, 13-15 Sept., Vol. (2): 954 - 962.
- El-Khatib, H.S. (1991): Effect of plant population and distributions and N K fertilization on growth, yield and quality of sugar beet. (*Beta vulgaris*, L.). M. Sc., Thesis Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Emara, T.K.M.S. (1990): Effect of irrigation intervals, growth regulators and NK fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet. M. Sc. Thesis, in Agron., Fac. Agric., Mansoura University.

- Ghonema, M.H. (1998): Effect of planting dates and harvesting time on yield, yield components and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*, L.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23 (7): 2971 - 2979.
- 13. Gomez, K.N. and A.A. Gomez (1984): Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research.John wiley and Sons. Inc., New York. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. 68 p.
- 14. Hanna, A.S.; A.T. El-Kassaby; A.N. Attia and M.A. Badawi (1988): Studies on the interrelationships among planting dates, hill spacing varieties and nitrogen fertilization in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*, L.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 13 (2): 598 605.
- 15. Le-Docte, A. (1927): Commercial determination of sugar beet using the sachr Le-Docta (C.F. Sugar Beet Nutrition, Aprile, 1927) Applied Sciences Publishers LTD, London, A.P.Dragcott).
- Leilah, A.A. and S.M. Nasr (1992): The contribution of sowing and harvesting dates on yield and quality of some sugar beet cultivars. Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron. Zagazig, 13 - 15 Sept., Vol (2): 970 - 979.
- 17. Mahasen, M.M. Fahmi (1999): Effect of levels and times of nitrogen application on growth and yield of sugar beet. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ.
- 18. Mahmoud, E.A.; M.A. El-Metwally and M.E.M. Gobarh (1999): Yield and quality of some multigerm sugar beet as affected by plant densities and nitrogen levels. J.Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 24 (9): 4499 4516.
- Mahmoud, E.A.; N.A. Khalil and S.Y. Besheet (1990): Effect of nitrogen fertilization and planting density on sugar beet. 2- Root weight, root, top and sugar quality . Proc. 4<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron. Cairo, 15 - 16. Sept., Vol. 11: 447 - 454.
- 20. Neamet Alla, E.A.E. (1997): Agronomic studies on sugar beet. Ph. D. Thesis, in Agron., Fac. Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University.
- Ramadan, B.S.H. (1999): Differential response of some sugar beet varieties to plant density and harvesting dates. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 24 (2): 413 -423.
- 22. Ramadan, B.S.H. and M.A. Hassanin (1999): Effect of sowing dates on yield and quality of some sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*, L.) varieties. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 24 (7): 3227 3237.
- Salama, A.M. and M.A. Badawi (1996): Evaluation of six sugar beet Cultivars under N-levels and harvesting dates. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 21 (1): 139 - 153.
- 24. Sarhan, H.M. (1998): Macro elements requirement of sugar beet. M. Sc. Thesis, in Agron., Fac. Agric., Mansoura University.

- 25. Shahr-Zad, M.M. Neana (1999): Effect of nitrogen fertilizer and some growth regulators on the yield and quality of sugar beet. Ph .D. Thesis Fac. Agric., Alexandria Univ.
- Sorour, S.R.; S.H. Abou-Khadrah; M. Zahran and E.A. Neamet Alla (1992): Effect of different potassium and nitrogen rates on growth and yield of some sugar beet cultivars. Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron., Zagazig, 13 - 15 Sept., Vol. (2): 1027 - 1043.
- Sultan, M.S.; A.N. Attia; A.E. Sharief, M.A.M. Ibrahim and T.K. Emara (1996): Role of plant population and water quality on productivity of sugar beet in north nile delta. Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron. Mansoura, 9 10 Sept., Vol (2): 525 529.

# تأثير مواعيد الزراعة والكثافة النباتية والتسميد النيتروجينى على انتاجية بنجر السكر في الأراض الرملية حديثة الإستصـــلاح بجممورية مصر العربية

عبدالرحيم عبدالرحيم ليله، محسن عبدالعزيز بدوي، محمد حسين غنيمة، محمد علي الدسوقي عبده قسم المحاصيل، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنصورة، جمهورية مصر العربية

#### الملخص:

نفذ هذا البحث بأرض رملية حديثة الاستصلاح لدراسة تأثير مواعيد الزراعة والكثافة النباتية و معدلات ومواعيد إضافة السماد النيتروجينى على إنتاجية بنجر السكر "الصنف كواميرا". وقد أفادت نتائج الدراسة أن الكثافة النباتية قد أثرت معنويا على جميع الصفات المقاسة خلال موسمي النمو وأن أعلا محصول من الجذور والسكر قد نتج بزراعة البنجر على جانبي الخط بعرض ٧٠ سم ومسافة ٢٥ سم بين النباتات (١١٤٢٤٠ نبات / هكتار). كما أثر معدل السماد النيتروجيني معنويا على كل صفات الدراسة وأن إضافة ٢٥ فجم ن/هكتار قد نتج عنها زيادة معنوية في طول وقطر الجذر ومحصول الجذور والسكر / هكتار خلال موسمي الدراسة. وأشارت النتائج أيضا أن إضافة البذر ومحصول الجذور والسكر / هكتار خلال موسمي الدراسة. وأشارت النتائج أيضا أن إضافة النيتروجين على أربع دفعات ( بعد ٤٥، ٢٠، ٥٥ و ٩٠ يوم من الزراعة) نتج عنها أكبر طول وقطر الجذر وفسبة نقاوة ومحصول الجذور والعرش و السكر / هكتار.

وبصفة عامة توصي الدراسة بزراعة بنجر السكر فى أول شهر أكتوبر على جانبى الخطوط (عرض ٧٠ سم) وعلى مسافة ٢٥ سم بين الجور (١١٤٢٤٠ نبات / هكتار) مع التسميد النيتروجيني بمعدل ٢٥٠ كجم نيتروجين / هكتار تضاف على أربع جرعات متساوية ( بعد ٤٥، ٦٠ ، ٥٥ و ٩٠ يوم من الزراعة) لزيادة إنتاجية بنجر السكر تحت ظروف الأرض الرملية بمنطقة الدراسة.